

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	· CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,739	10/30/2003	Binh Vo	015114-068400US	3284	
26059	26059 7590 11/24/2006		EXAMINER		
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP/ 015114 TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, STEVE N	
8TH FLOOR	• * • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834			2129		

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/698,739	VO ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	· ·	Steve Nguyen	2138		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DISTRICT DIST	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,9,15 and 21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2-8,10-14 and 16-24 is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath	wn from consideration. to. or election requirement. er. er. a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-24 are pending and have been examined. In view of the amendment 1. filed 9/1/2006, all rejections in the prior Office Action are withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 2-8, 10-14, 16-24 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1, 9, and 15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 24 objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant should make the distinction of the 1 and 0 as "logic 1" or "binary 1". Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,739

Art Unit: 2138

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1, 9, 15, and 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 9, and 15 include the limitation, "wherein each routing resource of the subset has at least one corresponding new test path that includes: that routing resource; and at least one other resource that was not previously coupled with that routing resource in one of the failed test paths." The following language is suggested to clarify that each routing resource of the subset included in at least one corresponding new test path:

wherein each routing resource of the subset has <u>is included in</u> at least one corresponding new test path that includes: that routing resource, and at least one other resource that was not previously coupled with that routing resource in one of the failed test paths.

Claims 1, 9, and 15 include the limitation, "wherein each routing resource of the subset has at least one corresponding new test path that includes: that routing resource; and at least one other resource that was not previously coupled with that routing resource in one of the failed test paths."

It is unclear whether each corresponding new test path includes "that routing resource; and at least one other resource that was not previously coupled with that

Art Unit: 2138

routing resource in one of the failed test paths" if there is more than one new test path.

It is assumed that Applicant intended each corresponding new test path includes "that

routing resource; and at least one other resource that was not previously coupled with

that routing resource in one of the failed test paths." If Applicant agrees, the following

language is suggested:

wherein each routing resource of the subset is included in at least one corresponding

new test path, each corresponding new test path including: that routing resource; and at

least one other resource that was not previously coupled with that routing resource in

one of the failed test paths.

Claim 21 recites the limitation, "wherein the at least one corresponding new test

path is used to determine whether that routing resource has failed". It is unclear which

of the corresponding new test paths is used to determine whether that routing resource

has failed when more than one corresponding new test path is present. The following

language is suggested:

wherein each of the at least one corresponding new test path is used to determine

whether that corresponding routing resource has failed.

Art Unit: 2138

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7214. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2138

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steve Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2138

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100